

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,443	11/30/2004		Kassim Juma	1489(04-80)	5507	
Iames R Willia	7590 06/18/2007 James R Williams			EXAMINER		
2625 Wilmington Road				KIM, S	KIM, SUN U	
New Castle, PA	A 16105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1723		
			•			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				06/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/516,443	JUMA, KASSIM				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John Kim	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended peri Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. naximum statutory period w od for reply will, by statute, the months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATES OF THIS COMMUNICATES (a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	FION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>30 No</u>	ovember 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL.							
3) Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with th	e practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 22-50</u> is/ard 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 22-50</u> are se	is/are withdraved. ed. ed to.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
	_ is/are: a) ☐ acce any objection to the o including the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ No 1.□ Certified copies of the 2.□ Certified copies of the	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the prior ternational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing (Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Infom 6) Other:	ail Date				

Art Unit: 1723

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1, 4 and 22-30, drawn to a ceramic filter comprising a ceramic powder.

Group II, claims 31-50, drawn to a method to produce filters for molten metal filtration.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: All of the groupings are directed to a method or apparatus for molten metal filtration, but each group has a different special technical feature not shared by the remaining groups. Group I is directed to a filter which has the special technical feature of a ceramic powder not shared by Group II. Group II is directed to a method of producing filters for molten metal filtration comprising impregnating foam, drying impregnated foam and firing the impregnated foam in a non-oxidizing atmosphere not shared by Group I.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I (claims 31-38): A method of producing filters comprising the steps of impregnating foam, drying impregnated foam and firing the impregnated foam in a non-oxidizing atmosphere.

Species II (claims 39-50): A method of producing filters comprising the steps of pressing a semi-damp mixture and firing a perforated article in a non-oxidizing atmosphere.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Species I (claims 31-38)

Species II (claims 39-50).

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: All of the species are directed to a method of producing filters for molten metal filtration, but each group has a different special technical feature not shared by the remaining groups. Species I is directed to a method of producing filters which has the special technical feature comprising the steps of impregnating foam, drying

Art Unit: 1723

impregnated foam and firing the impregnated foam in a non-oxidizing atmosphere filter not shared by Species II. Species II is directed to a method of producing filters for molten metal filtration comprising the steps of pressing a semi-damp mixture and firing a perforated article in a non-oxidizing atmosphere not shared by Species I.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. James Williams on 6/13/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-1142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7 a.m. - 3:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Kim

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

JK . 6/13/07